Energy Efficiency Insurance

Global & Indian scenario



International Energy Efficiency Insurance Product Scenario



Reluctance of consumers to invest in capital intensive energy eniciency s

- 1. Financial risk posed by the uncertainty of achieving energy savings.
- 2. Lack of technical competence to verify project design, technologies installed etc.
- 3. Possibility of disputes with ESCO companies over achieved savings.
- 4. Few financing options available due to technical incompetence of banks to assess investment in this space.

Insurer: Energi; Reinsurer: Hannover RE

Geography: USA, Canada and Puerto Rico

4. Energy Savings Warranty



Energy Savings Insurance (Mexico): Key stakeholders

| IMPLEMENTATION AUTHORITY | The Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) is supporting the implementation of the program by extending a credit line to the local national development bank FIRA. The IDB is also responsible for setting up verification protocols, development of standard contracts, identification of appropriate insurance instruments and carrying out training activities. |
|-----------------------------|---|
| INSURER | Local insurance companies issue the insurance to the eligible ESCOs. |
| BANK | After the loan request passes through an initial credit review, the project proposal is passed on to FIRA - (Fideicomisos Instituidos en Relación con la Agricultura; is an agricultural bank). FIRA on receiving the project proposal requests the verifier to validate the ESCO and the project details. If the assessment by the verifier is positive, credit lines are extended by FIRA to the local banks which further disburse the credit to the building owners covering up to 80% of the upfront investment project cost. |
| VERIFIER | The National Association of Normalization for the Electric Sector (ANCE) is the verifier for the program. The verifier is also responsible to resolve any disputes that may arise between the ESCO and the building owner. The M&V related costs are borne by public funds. |



Energy Savings Insurance (Mexico): Operational Modalities





Product Implementation Timeline



Exclusions in product design

Areas or factors not covered by the insurance Product are explicitly mentioned in the term documents. These factors are known as Exclusions. Exclusions are made in the product policy to effectively define the risks covered and to keep the premium low. Some of the observed exclusions in most international Energy Efficiency Insurance Products are:



Addition of new end uses that increase energy use: This clause prevents any claims due to the addition of end uses. Contracts should have a provision for the adjustment of the baseline if new end uses are installed or removed.

Sabotage/misuse/vandalism of the installed technologies.



Physical damage to equipment: Physical damage or wear and tear is usually attributed to lack of maintenance by the responsible party.



Inadequate maintenance: The responsibility for maintenance should reside with the ESCO. The requirements for maintenance should be stipulated in the contract.



Changes in energy prices: Contracts should have a provision for the adjustment of the baseline if the energy prices change.



Failure or malfunction of data acquisition systems.



Highlights- Indian Energy Efficiency Scenario

The overall size of energy efficiency market is estimated to be INR 74,000 crores Source: EESL

Recently, World Bank has pegged India's energy efficiency market at INR 1.6 lakh crore, four times the INR 44,000 crore in 2010 against the backdrop of the success of the government's UJALA scheme to distribute LED bulb **Source: World Bank**





Highlights- Indian Energy Efficiency Scenario



To gauge the Indian energy efficiency scenario, desk research and stakeholder consultations were conduncted:

BEE empaneled ESCOs were contacted for soliciting their views on the current energy efficiency scenario in India.

Responses were / are being received by:

- Carrier
- DESL
- Megawatt Solutions Pvt. Ltd.
- Energy Audit Services Ltd.
- ► RE Cube Energy Pvt. Ltd.

Key Findings:

- 1. ESCOs in India do not specialize in multiple interventions. Most ESCOs provide services for 1-2 technologies.
- 2. ESCOs operate according to 2 savings models:
 - Shared savings: ESCO invests. Savings shared between ESCO (85%) and building owner (15%).
 - Guaranteed energy savings: Building owner invests. Complete savings retained by the building owner.
- 3. No insurance framework exists for energy efficiency savings.



Identified Risk Factors for the Interventions





Illustrative Product Framework for Indian Market





Risk Computation Model- Sample Scenario

For developing an EE insurance product, a risk computation model needs to be developed. The model should quantify the various risks that can impact energy savings in a EE intervention. Based on the on-site scenario, the user can populate the matrix for computation.

For any risk factors, the following parameters can be affected:

- Savings from the intervention
- Cost of the intervention
- Cost and Savings

Risk percentage for any particular factor can be calculated as:

Impact on Payback X Weightage of Parameter = Risk Percentage of Factor or Parameter

Total Project Risk = \sum Risk Percentage of Factors

| Cates CENARIO | arameter | User Selection | Risk Output on the Basis of User Selection | Parameter Impacted on the basis of user input | Percentag e change on the Parameter Impacted |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Supplier Quality Certification | Complianc e with India's LED Standards | Yes No | Low Risk High Risk | Cost Cost | <u>0%</u> 5% |
| | LM80 Certificatio n | Yes No | Low Risk High Risk | Cost Cost | 0% 5% |
| Post implementati on service | O&M Responsibi lity | ESCO Building Owner | Low Risk High Risk | Savings Savings | 0% -10% |
| ESCO Reputation | ESCO Reputation - Crisil Grading | Grading 1 Grading 2 Grading 3 Grading 4, Grading 5 and | Low Risk Low Risk Low Risk High Risk | Savings Savings Savings Savings | 0% 0% 0% -10% |



Sample Methodology for Base Premium Calculation



Methodology for Base Premium Calculation

The following is the calculation methodology for premium calculation:

| Assumptions / Input parameters: | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| S. No. | Parameters | Rationale | | |
| 1 | Internal Rate of Return | To judge profitability for the insurance company, as normal premium calculation methodology is not | | |
| | (IRR) for Insurance | applicable for the case. (Due to unavailability of historical data to assess probabilistic occurrence) | | |
| | Companies | | | |
| 2 | Risk Insured/project | To determine cash outflow (risk coverage) for the insurance companies. | | |
| 3 | % of companies claiming | To aggregate total time bound cash inflows for the insurance companies. | | |
| | for coverage | | | |
| 4 | Tenure of the insurance | To determine total number of premiums, thus giving cash inflow timeline for the insurance companies. | | |
| | contract | | | |
| 5 | Premium frequency/year | To determine total number of premiums, thus giving cash inflow timeline for the insurance companies | | |

| Output Parameters | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| S. No. | Parameters | Calculation methodology | | |
| 1 | Base Premium | Cash outflow may be taken exactly at the mid of the insurance tenure and cash inflow may be | | |
| | | considered based on premium frequency/year. A reference start date has to be considered. | | |
| | | Based on the input IRR, NPV of cash outflows and inflows calculated, final NPV can ne calculated based | | |
| | | on the % of companies claiming for premium. | | |
| 2 | Final Premium | Based on the risk factor calculated in the model, premium charges are imposed on the base premium. | | |
| 3 | Premium Schedule | Based on the tenure of contract and premium frequency, premium schedule be computed. | | |

